PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UP

(51) International Patent Classification 6:

A61K 38/00, C07K 5/00, 7/00, 17/00

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 96/03138

A1

(43) International Publication Date:

8 February 1996 (08.02.96)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US95/08996

(22) International Filing Date:

18 July 1995 (18.07.95)

(30) Priority Data:

08/279,593 08/467,860

22 July 1994 (22.07.94) 6 June 1995 (06.06.95)

US US

(71) Applicants: THE MEDICAL COLLEGE OF HAMPTON ROADS [US/US]; 358 Mowbray Arch, Norfolk, VA 23507 (US). ORTHO PHARMACEUTICAL CORPORATION [US/US]; U.S. Route 202, P.O. Box 300, Raritan, NJ 08869-0602 (US).

2) Inventors: HODGEN, Gary, D.; 619 Mowbray Arch, Norfolk, VA 23507 (US). PHILIPS, Audrey; c/o Gary D. Hodgen, 619 Mowbray Arch, Norfolk, VA 23507 (US).

(74) Agents: MEILMAN, Edward, A. et al.; Ostrolenk, Faber, Gerb & Soffen, 1180 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10036 (US).

(81) Designated States: AU, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CZ, EE, FI, HU, IS, JP, KE, KR, LK, LT, LV, MG, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SD, SG, SI, SK, UA, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD, SZ, UG).

Published

With international search report.

Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.

(54) Title: ESTABLISHMENT OF TONIC OVARIAN ESTROGEN SECRETION FOR EXTENDED THERAPEUTIC REGIMENS

(57) Abstract

A regimen for the therapeutic management of a gonadal-steroid dependent condition in a mammal constitutes reducing the estrogen supply thereof by means of administration of a GnRH antagonist in an amount effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue without substantially stopping the production of endogenous estrogen. A method of determining whether the reduced estrogen supply in an individual is such that the concentration of estradiol has been suppressed to an optimized level appropriate to the therapeutic management of the gonadal-steroid dependent condition such as endometriosis in that individual by the administration of a GnRH antagonist at a given osage level involves titering the dosage, e.g., by conducting a progesterone challenge test and optimal regimen doses of a GnRH antagonist.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL.	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belanus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KR	Republic of Korea	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK.	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	นั	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
		LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CN	China			TG	Togo
cs	Czechoslovakia	w	Luxembourg		
cz	Czech Republic	LV	Larvia	TJ	Tajikisten
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TT	Trinidad and Tobego
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spein	MG	Madagascar	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	Prance	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gehon	•	-		٠.

- 1 **-**

ESTABLISHMENT OF TONIC OVARIAN ESTROGEN SECRETION FOR EXTENDED THERAPEUTIC REGIMENS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

This is a continuation-in-part of application Serial No. 08/279,593, filed July 22, 1994.

Endometriosis is the ectopic presence of endometrial type glands and stroma in sites which are outside of the uterus. This ectopic occurrence of endometrial tissue frequently forms cysts containing altered blood. The condition results in debilitating pain for millions of women worldwide and particularly occurs in conjunction with the monthly proliferation of endometrial tissue. It is frequently a lifelong condition, sometimes associated with infertility.

Endometriosis can be treated by a variety of medical therapies but none of these are sufficiently safe or effective for a long term treatment beyond six months or more. Perhaps the oldest therapy with a demonstrable effect is the administration of progestin either by injection, orally or in combination with oral contraceptives. However, long term administration of progestins have been associated with a number of undesirable side effects, as well as questionable

5

10

15

efficacy, and has not received regulatory approval in the United States.

A synthetic steroid derived from ethisterone, namely 17-α-pregna-2,4-dien-20-yno[2,3-d]-isoxazol-17-ol, also known as danazol and marketed under the trademark Danocrine has been shown an effective medication for the treatment of endometriosis producing a hypoestrogenic milieu. Unfortunately, this drug also has many androgenic side effects. In addition to the vasomotor flush of estrogen deprivation, it causes weight gain, muscle cramps, breast atrophy, hot flashes, mood swings; oily skin, depression, edema, acne, fatigue, hirsutism, alterations in the libido, headache, rash and a deepening of the voice.

one of the most effective treatments of endometriosis is the administration of a gonadotropin releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist to suppress pituitary gonadotropin secretion and thereby induce a state of reversible pseudomenopause, i.e. administration of a down regulating dose. Although individual response varies, the endometriotic lesions associated with endometriosis usually quickly regress and decrease in size within only three months of initiation of therapy. On withdrawal of the treatment, pain often returns and the endometriosis reappears within a few months after the return of normal menstrual cycles. The drug can also be used to treat fibroid tumors (leiomyomata).

25

15

20

ICDOCID: -WO COMMON ! ! -

5

The main drawback of this therapy long-term is a series of side effects stemming from protracted severe hypoestrogenism or the pseudomenopausal state induced by severe estrogen deprivation, namely hot flashes, bone loss and loss of cardiovascular protection by estrogen. While individual response again varies, the bone loss generally begins to be measurable after about 3 months of therapy and sometimes becomes highly significant after about 6 months of therapy in the most vulnerable patients. This bone loss side effect is totally unacceptable from a risk-benefit point of view -- the life expectancy after a post-menopausal woman experiences a break of the hip bones due to osteoporosis is only about 3.2 years. Because of this side effect, the United States Food & Drug Administration contraindicates any administration of a gonadotropin releasing hormone analog after six months of total administration has elapsed. other words, the drug cannot be readministered after a resting period according to FDA labelling requirement.

20

25

15

Thus, a matter of concern in GnRH agonist
therapy is based on the recognition that prolonged
hypoestrogenic status among women of reproductive age can
result in cumulative estrogen-depletion side-effects,
especially accelerated bone density loss, potentially
cumulating in a heightened risk of osteoporosis and bone

5

10

15

20

25

- 4 -

Patients receiving a "down regulating" dose fractures. of GnRH agonist have grossly deficient estrogen levels rivaling post-menopausal conditions. These concerns have motivated clinical studies of "add back" regimens based on an "estrogen threshold hypothesis" in which patient presented with clinically significant uterine fibroids have used GnRH agonist medications in combination with low dose estrogen-progestin hormone replacement therapy, the latter being similar to the familiar post-menopausal treatment regimes. The object has been to achieve a sufficient reduction in endogenous ovarian estrogen secretion by means of the agonist together with a low dose exogenous estrogen-progestin supplement so that the clinical benefits will not be forfeited due to the "add back" hormone replacement therapy regimen.

The scientific literature also describes the potential use of gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonists as efficacious in the clinical management of endometriosis and uterine leiomyomata. For example, Gordon et al., Suppression of Ovarian Estradiol Secretion by a Single Injection of Antide in Monkeys Follicular Phase: Intermediate, Sustained and Reversible Actions, J. Clin. Endocrin. Metab., 73:1262 (1991), examined the effects of the GnRH antagonist antide and the authors concluded that the antagonist, when administered as a

10

15

20

25

sustained inhibition of the pituitary-ovarian axis. The hypoestrogenic milieu produced was sufficient to expect that management of patients would provide control of various gonadal-steroid dependent conditions, such as endometriosis and leiomyomata uteri, without the delay and potential consequences of the familiar flare and down-regulation response to initiations of GnRH agonist therapy. However, the authors also indicated that a formulation which would give a greater control of bioavailability and, in turn, less individualism of response was highly desirable.

present invention derives from the recognition that unlike the agonist products, which act by complete inhibition through down regulation of the GnRH receptor system, the antagonist monopolizes the GnRH receptors by competitive occupancy thereby achieving differential degrees of inhibition that are dose dependent.

Therefore, it is possible, with the appropriate dose of the GnRH antagonist, to maintain tonic ovarian estradiol secretion at a modest level which is sufficiently reduced to control the estrogen-dependent gynecological problems such as endometriosis but still high enough to avoid the long term sequelae of frank estrogen deficiency.

The basis for the antagonist approach of the

- 6 -

There is a need to provide a dose and/or regimen of GnRH antagonist which will provide an optimized level of estrogen serum concentration. As noted in the Gordon et al. article referred to above, the response to a particular GnRH antagonist varies from individual to individual. Therefore, the GnRH antagonist dosage must be adjusted in each individual in order to achieve the appropriate degree of estrogen secretion. Accordingly, there is also a need for a convenient method to determine whether an appropriate estrogen level has been established.

It will thus be appreciated that there are a number of therapeutic regimens in which it is desirable to maintain tonic ovarian estrogen secretion. Treatment of endometriosis and leiomyomata are examples. There a level of agent which is appropriate to safe (i.e. avoidance of menopausal side effect such as bone density loss) and effective (i.e., treatment of the disease state) long-term therapeutic management of gonadal-steroid dependent conditions. The present invention provides that level and a way to establish it.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Figure 1 illustrates that residual ovarian estrogen secretion is GnRH antagonist doses-dependent and

10

15

- 7 -

that the degree of inhibition stabilizes within 7 to 10 days of initiating treatment.

Figure 2 illustrates that populations of menstruating primates can be titered to a desirable level of partial inhibition of ovarian estrogen secretion.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

This invention broadly relates to the treatment of gonadal-steroid dependent conditions such as endometriosis, uterine leiomyomata, premenstrual syndrome and dysfunctional uterine bleeding. More particularly, the invention relates to the safe and effective treatment of these gonadal-steroid dependent conditions by administration of a regimen of a GnRH antagonist which is effective to substantially inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of estrogen, that is, ineffective to substantially induce a castrate level of endogeneous estrogen. Other preferred embodiments of the invention are directed to doses of GnRH antagonist which provide effective amounts to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female but which amounts are ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen. In particularly preferred embodiments of the invention, the

5

10

15

regimen and doses provided permit sufficient production of endogenous estrogen to produce and maintain a serum estradiol concentration in the range of about 30 to 50 and preferably about 35 to 45 pg/ml.

5

10

This invention also provides a method of determining whether a hypoestrogenic milieu in an individual mammal is such that the concentration of estradiol has been suppressed to an optimized level appropriate to the therapeutic management of the gonadal-steroid dependent condition in that individual by administering a GnRH antagonist to the individual at a given dosage established by titering, e.g., by conducting a progesterone challenge test on that individual.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

15

20

In accordance with the present invention, a regimen or dose of GnRH antagonist is provided which is effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen. While the amount of GnRH antagonist provided in the regimen and the dose may vary in accordance with particular subjects, the regimen and dose is generally adjusted to achieve a 24 hour serum estradiol in the

-9-

WO 96/03138

10

15

20

25

range of about 30 to 50 and preferably about 35 to 45 pg/ml.

It has been suggested that lowering the serum estradiol level has the desired effect of inhibiting proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female. However, there is a coincident problem of possibly not providing enough estrogen to mitigate, avoid or protect against the menopausal-like symptoms associated with a castrate-like estrogen level. For instance, when the endogenous estrogen level falls below about 15 pg/ml, estrogen depletion side-effects occur. Avoiding these side-effects after endogenous estrogen depletion has only heretofore been accomplished by adding back estrogen, i.e. the so called "add-back therapy". is only the present invention which provides treatment for gonadal-steroid dependent conditions while maintaining endogenous serum estradiol levels of at least about 30 pg/ml without relying on "add-back" of estrogen.

The clinical management of certain gynecological maladies that are estrogen dependent, such as endometriosis, is more complex than simple estrogen deprivation of the patient. In fact, inflicting severe hypoestrogenemia over a protracted interval not only causes patients to endure the discomfort of central estrogen deficiency symptoms, but risks accelerating bone

5

10

15

20

25

density loss and enhancement of cardiovascular risk factors which are associated with protracted estrogen deprivation.

Optimal therapeutic conditions can permit
long-term clinical management of these disorders, limit
ovarian estrogen secretion to a level that is
therapeutically beneficial (i.e. pelvic pain from ectopic
endometrial lesions is markedly reduced or eliminated),
while simultaneously, maintaining residual (basal)
estrogen secretion from the ovaries above the castrate
(menopausal-like) nadir, thereby insuring health
benefits.

This approach is superior to estrogen-progestin add back therapy because the GnRH antagonist regimen is titered to individual needs which are revealed by both residual estrogen levels in blood and whether endometrial proliferation is sufficient to allow withdrawal bleeding after a brief course of progesterone therapy.

In summary, this technology allows a practical method wherein estrogen levels are low enough to achieve therapeutic benefits from reduced estrogen supplies, but high enough to minimize or avoid the consequences of long-term estrogen deprivation.

In considering determination of the appropriate dosage, it is important to recognize that simply

5

10

15

20

25

measuring the estradiol secretion level may not provide sufficient information. It has now been recognized that not only is the estradiol secretion level variable from individual to individual in response to a given dose of GnRH antagonist but also the dosage of antagonist which is sufficient to cause the individual to manifest amenorrhea also varies from person to person. It has therefore been found that the dosage determination should be accomplished by titering the GnRH antagonist dosage, for example through the use of a progesterone challenge test.

Accordingly, pursuant to the invention, the management of a gonadal-steroid dependent condition is achieved by administering a GnRH antagonist to the individual and then titering the antagonist to a selected dose and/or regimen so that an optimal hypoestrogenic milieu can be achieved.

The gonadotropin releasing hormone is a small polypeptide produced in the hypothalamus and is sometimes termed gonadotropic releasing hormone, luteinizing hormone releasing hormone, GnRH or LHRH. In the present invention, those analogs or peptomimetics of this polypeptide which are antagonists are employed.

Examples of gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonist can be found, inter alia, in United States

15

20

Patents 4,409,208, 4,547,370, 4,565,804, 4,569,927 and 4,619,914, 5,198,533, and WO 89/01944, the disclosures of which are incorporated herein by reference. Examples of such antagonists include Azaline B, Antide (a decapeptide represented by the formula D-Ac-D-2-Nal¹-DpClPhe²-D-3-Pal³-Ser⁴-NiLys⁵-D-NicLys⁶-Leu¹-ILys⁶-Pro⁰-D-Ala¹⁰), [Ac-D4ClDPhe¹, D4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶, DAla¹⁰] GnRH, [Ac-C4ClDPhe², D3Pal³, Arg⁵, D2Nal⁶, DAla¹⁰] GnRH, [Ac-D2-Nal¹, 4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶, DAla¹⁰] GnRH, [Ac-D2-Nal¹, 4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶, DAla¹⁰] GnRH, [Ac-D2Nal¹, 4FDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶] GnRH, [Ac-D2Nal¹, 4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶] GnRH, [Ac-D2Nal¹, 4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶] GnRH, [Ac-D2Nal¹, 4ClDPhe², DTrp³, DArg⁶] GnRH, Ilys⁶, Pro⁰, DAla¹⁰] GnRH.

The gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonists employed in the present invention can be administered in the form of pharmaceutically acceptable non-toxic salts or complexes. The salts include acid addition salts such as for instance hydrochloride, hydrobromide, sulfate, phosphate, nitrate, oxalate, fumarate, gluconate, tannate, maleate, acetate, benzoate, succinate, alginate, malate, ascorbate, tartrate and the like. The complexes can be with metals such as for example zinc, barium, calcium, magnesium, aluminum and the like.

Any known GnRH antagonist can be employed. The mode of administration heretofore employed for similar

5

10

15

20

- 13 -

therapeutics, i.e. GnRH agonists, can also be employed in the practice of the present invention substituting the antagonist for the previously used agent. Thus, the route of administration can be any conventional route where the analog is active, for instance orally, intravenously, subcutaneously, intramuscularly, sublingually, percutaneously, rectally, intranasally or intravaginally. Similarly, the administration form can be a tablet, dragee, capsule, pill, nasal mist, aerosol and the like.

As a rule of thumb, the amount of initial gonadotropin releasing hormone antagonist administered is that sufficient to adjust the circulating estrogen to a value within a target zone of about 25-50 pg/ml.

Depending on the particular antagonist employed, the initial dose is generally about 0.001 to 0.5 mg/kg per day when administered intramuscularly but such can be subject to wide variation depending on the mode of administration and the particular compound delivered. The administration can be periodic, such as on a weekly or monthly basis or a continuous basis such as daily.

Daily administration is preferred because individuals are more likely to follow the treatment regimen and not to forget or overlook a period administration schedule. The

10

15

20

25

use of a depot administration can be convenient and raise patient compliance.

Whether the amount of antagonist administered is sufficient to manage the gonadal-steroid dependent condition will be readily apparent simply by observing the external manifestations of the condition. For example, the absence of pain indicates that the dosage of GnRH antagonist has been sufficient to manage endometriosis. In order to determine whether the dosage is appropriate to optimize the tonic estradiol concentration, a progesterone challenge test is conducted. The test per se and manner in which such a test is conducted is well known. See, for example, Abbasi et al, "Predicting the Predisposition to Osteoporosis", JAMA, 255:1600 (1986) and Kletzky et al, "Clinical categorization of patients with secondary ammenorrhea using progesterone-induced uterine bleeding and measurement of serum gonadotropin levels", Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol., 121:695 (1975). In broad terms, a dosage of progesterone or a synthetic progestin is administered for a short period of time such as for instance 7 to 14 days and when the progestin is withdrawn, the presence or absence of consequent withdrawal bleeding is noted. The occurrence of withdrawal bleeding is an indication that the estradiol

HENCHIN - MICH - ORNATARAT I -

- 15 -

concentration remained sufficient to stimulate the endometrium and therefore, has not been sufficiently reduced. In this instance, the dosage of GnRH antagonist should be increased. Conversely, the absence of withdrawal bleeding signifies that a state of amenorrhea has been achieved and that the estradiol level is either appropriate or too low. In the latter instance, the amount of GnRH antagonist can be decreased and the challenge test repeated. The cycle of antagonist administration and challenge test can be repeated until the appropriate concentration of antagonist to be administered has been determined.

10

15

20

25

The progesterone can be administered in any convenient pharmaceutical formulation. For example, such formulations may contain the progestin and a suitable carrier such as a solid dosage forms which includes tablets, capsules, cachets, pellets, pills, powders or granules; topical dosage forms which includes solutions, powders, fluid emulsions, fluid suspensions, semi-solids, ointments, pastes, creams, gels or jellies and foams; and parenteral dosage forms which includes solutions, suspensions, emulsions or dry powder comprising an effective amount of progesterone. It is known in the art that the active ingredient, the progestin, can be contained in such formulations in addition to

5

10

15

20

25

pharmaceutically acceptable diluents, fillers,
disintegrants, binders, lubricants, surfactants,
hydrophobic vehicles, water soluble vehicles,
emulsifiers, buffers, humectants, moisturizers,
solubilizers, preservatives and other means of augmenting
the medicinal entity. The means and methods for
administration are known in the art and an artisan can
refer to various pharmacologic references for guidance.
For example, "Modern Pharmaceutics", Banker & Rhodes,
Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1979; "Goodman & Gilman's The
Pharmaceutical Basis of Therapeutics", 6th Edition,
MacMillan Publishing Co., New York 1980 can be consulted.
Likewise, progestins (analogs) that mimic the biological
activity of progesterone itself may be similarly used in
the withdrawal test for amenorrhea.

Application of the components, compositions and the methods of this invention for the medical and/or pharmaceutical use which are described in this text can be accomplished by any clinical, medical or pharmaceutical methods or techniques as are presently or prospectively known to those skilled in the art.

The present invention for the first time accomplishes treatment of gonadal-steroid dependent conditions while maintaining production of sufficient endogenous estrogen to mitigate against estrogen

- 17 -

5

10 .

15

20

25

depletion side effects. The present invention provides a non-castrating dose of GnRH antagonist which is titered to provide sufficient reduction in endogenous estrogen to inhibit proliferation in endometrial tissue in a menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen. This dose may be effective to provide estrogen in a target range of about 30 to 50 and preferably about 35 to 45 pg/ml in serum which mitigates against menopausal symptoms such as bone loss, hot flushes, etc. This titering of the dose of the GnRH antagonist was accomplished by the first time through the method described above of a progestin withdrawal bleeding test. The lack of bleeding after progestin withdrawal indicates to a physician that sufficient GnRH antagonist has been provided to inhibit production of endogenous estrogen to a level low enough to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue. circulating estrogen levels and patient response to the residual (basal) ovarian estrogen production will indicate the satisfactory supply of endogenous estrogen.

In order to further illustrate the present invention, a study was carried out as described below. It will be appreciated, however, that this study is illustrative only and is not intended to limit the scope of the invention.

5

10

15

20

25

regular and presumably ovulatory menstrual cycles were entered into the study. Body weights were determined monthly. Menstrual bleeding and spotting were recorded daily by visual inspection of external genitalia and insertion of vaginal swabs using a saline moistened cotton-tipped applicator, respectively. Blood samples were collected from femoral vessels and the serum stored for subsequent RIA of estradiol and progesterone. Coefficients of variation for these assays were: 7.4 and 11.1; and 7.0 and 10.9%, respectively, for within and between assays. The GnRH antagonist (GnRHant) used was Nal-Glu: [Ac-D2NAL, 4ClDPhe², D3Pal³, Arg³, DGlu⁶(AA), DAla¹o]-GnRH.

In Part I of the study, the object was to perform a brief dose-finding study that indicated residual serum estradiol levels in intact primates previously illustrating regular menstrual cycles. Specifically sought was an approximation of the minimal daily im dose of Nal-Glu sufficient to suppress circulating estradiol levels into the target range: less than 45 pg/ml but more than 35 pg/ml. Beginning on day 2 of the menstrual cycle, Nal-Glu GnRHant, suspended in sesame oil, was administered between 9 and 10 am in doses of 0.1 (n = 5) and 0.3 mg/kg (n = 6) for 14 days.

- 19 -

Femoral blood was obtained daily under ketamine anesthesia. RIA of serum estradiol indicated that whereas the 0.1 mg/kg dose frequently produced circulating estradiol concentrations near the target range (35 to 45 pg/ml), the 0.3 mg/kg dose was too high; that is, serum estradiol levels were predominantly below 30 pg/ml. Accordingly, the Nal-Glu GnRHant dose range was reduced in study Part II.

After a 60 day wash-out interval, the Part II experimental design had two objectives: 1) attempt to titer the GnRHant dose to individual monkeys so that residual ovarian estrogen secretion was often within the target range (estradiol at 35 to 45 pg/ml) in as many of the blood samples as possible; and 2) perform periodic progesterone challenge tests wherein withdrawal bleeding indicates significant estrogen-induced endometrial proliferation (i.e. excessive estrogenic influence) versus amenorrhea (the absence of progesterone withdrawal bleeding) as an indicator that the GnRHant dose and, in turn, the tonic ovarian estrogen production was indicative of a controlled estrogenic milieu.

The design was progressive in that all eleven monkeys initially received Nal-Glu GnRHant at 0.05 mg/kg starting on day 2 of the menstrual cycle and continuing daily until results of the progesterone challenge test

5 .

10

15

20

and estradiol levels in circulation indicated the need to raise the dose. Concurrently, a pair of progesterone-loaded silastic implants were inserted sc during GnRHant treatment from day 20 and 30, and then removed. If withdrawal menses occurred during the next week, the individual Nal-Glu dose was raised to 0.1 mg/kg daily. On GnRHant treatment day 50, the 10 day progesterone challenge test was repeated for all monkeys. Those primates who were amenorrheic remained on this dose of Nal-Glu; any "bleeders" were to be shifted to a higher dose of GnRHant at 0.2 mg/kg daily through treatment day 90, with the final progesterone challenge test given to all monkeys on days 80 to 90. Again, amenorrhea or withdrawal menses was recorded.

For definition, withdrawal bleeding was scored when blood was on the external genitalia for two or more consecutive days within the week following progesterone withdrawal. Spotting was scored when blood was evident only by coloration of the cotton swab upon insertion into the vagina.

Femoral blood samples were collected every 10 days through the study for RIA of estradiol and progesterone.

The data illustrated in Fig. 1 depict the impact of Nal-Glu GnRHant at two doses on circulating

5

. 10

15

- 21 -

estradiol levels in monkeys over a 14 day treatment interval, beginning on day 2 of the menstrual cycle. Notice that the dose of 0.1 mg/kg im daily led to nearly all mean values within the target zone of 35 to 45 pg/ml of tonic estradiol. While this dose of Nal-Glu appears to be nearly optimal, mean values obscure important individual differences, wherein some data indicate that this GnRHant at 0.1 mg/kg im is too much for some primates whose serum estradiols were predominately < 25 pg/ml. Alternatively, other monkeys required a higher GnRHant dose to achieve consistent estradiol levels below 45 pg/ml. Moreover, this dose finding study clearly indicates that 0.3 mg/kg im daily was too much Nal-Glu GnRHant. Indeed, serum estradiol levels were immediately suppressed well below the target zone (even < 20 pg/ml) and continued to shift lower still during the 14 day treatment.

From these preliminary findings was devised an individualized progressive GnRHant treatment regimen in order to titer each monkey to an optimal daily dose of Nal-Glu. Beginning at 0.05 mg/kg im, only two primates demonstrated withdrawal amenorrhea after the first progesterone challenge test (see Table 1 below). Figure 2 shows that their mean serum estradiol levels hovered in or near the target zone. Nine other monkeys manifested

INSCOULD AND DOUGHOUSE I

20

5

10

15

20

frank withdrawal menses. These results confirm the adequacy of the 10 day progesterone treatment via sc silastic implants. Serum progesterone was 6.4 ± 0.6 ng/ml on the final day of the three treatment courses. On day 31, Nal-Glu doses were raised on the other nine females, now receiving 0.1 mg/kg im daily. The second progesterone challenge test resulted in 8 of 9 monkeys achieving withdrawal amenorrhea; one showed overt menstrual bleeding. The two that had remained on 0.05 mg/kg of GnRHant remained amenorrheic upon progesterone withdrawal. Fig. 2 illustrates that increasing the Nal-Glu dose for these 8 monkeys had moderated their mean serum estradiol concentrations to approximately 40 pg/ml.

The lone resistant monkey was changed to a Nal-Glu dose of 0.2 mg/kg im daily (see Fig. 2). The result was excessive estradiol suppression, ultimately to values under 20 pg/ml. The third progesterone challenge test resulted in all eleven monkeys achieving or maintaining amenorrhea, with mean circulating estradiol levels in or near the target zone in all females, except the one given 0.2 mg/kg im daily.

Table I										
Week of Progesterone Withdrawal (Days)	Monk	umber eys Per kg im o	Dose	Amenorrhea Achieved (Monkeys)	Incidence of Spotting (Days)					
31-37	11	-	-	2/11	13					
61-67	2	8	-	10/11	12					
91-97	2	8	1	11/11	0					

The findings rendered from this primate study demonstrate the utility of titering individualized GnRH ant doses to amenorrhea, while maintaining tonic ovarian estradiol secretion in a milieu suitable for extended therapeutic regimens. For example, estrogen dependency of endometriosis can be controlled by sustaining serum estradiol at levels that do not stimulate endometrial cell proliferation (mitogenesis) either in utero or ectopically. At the same time, the reduction of estradiol levels in circulation is quite modest and can be titered to near or slightly below 40 pg/ml by individual adjustment of the GnRHant dose, depending on

5

10

5

10

15

20

25

whether a progesterone challenge test results in withdrawal amenorrhea. This regimen is conducive to achieving amenorrhea with the lowest effective dose of GnRHant, while providing modest levels of endogenous estrogen and averting frank hypoestrogenism.

Accordingly, extended treatment intervals can be justified; indeed, titering of the GnRHant dose alone allows a number of years of therapy, instead of a 6-month limitation due to severe estrogen deficiency, as occurs using GnRH agonist as a nearly all or none inhibitor.

The data clearly point to the need for a highly individualized GnRHant regimen. This new therapeutic approach is more favorable than GnRH agonist alone or GnRH agonist plus "add back" HRT: first because it avoids the complexity and cost of overlapping to two treatment regimens; secondly, because the potential mal-effects of exogenous estrogen-progestin regimens on metabolism are averted. Notice that by titering each individual primate to amenorrhea, endometrial mitogenic quiescence negates the need for progestin therapy to counter excessive estrogen only exposure, and, in turn, associated risks of endometrial hyperplasia or endometrial carcinoma are not confronted. It follows that a series of gynecologic disorders that are substantially estrogen-dependent may be managed therapeutically by titering patients to the

- 25 **-**

lowest dose of GnRHant needed to reach the individual threshold for amenorrhea. This regimen conserves a considerable degree of endogenous ovarian estrogen production which can sustain bone density and cardiovascular health, as well as moderating hot flushes. Accordingly, titering individualized GnRHant doses to amenorrhea, with maintenance of tonic estradiol in circulation may allow long-term courses of treatment which avoid sacrificing either therapeutic efficacy of a controlled estrogenic milieu or the positive dimensions of estrogen action for overall female health maintenance.

Various changes and modifications can be made in the present invention without departing from the spirit and scope thereof. The various embodiments which have been described and illustrated above where intended to be representative and not limiting.

•

- 26 -

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1. A regimen for the therapeutic management of a gonadal-steroid dependent condition in a mammal by reducing the estrogen supply thereof by means of administration of a GnRH antagonist in an amount effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue without substantially stopping the production of endogenous estrogen.
- The regimen of claim 1 wherein the mammal is a menstruating female.
- 3. The regimen of claim 2 wherein the amount is determined by administering the GnRH antagonist to the female at a given dosage level and conducting a progesterone challenge test on that female.
- 4. The regimen of claim 3 wherein the dosage of GnRH antagonist administered is changed after the challenge test and an additional progesterone challenge test is conducted on the female.

- 5. The regimen of claim 4 in which the sequence of dosage change and additional challenge test is conducted more than once.
- 6. The regimen of claim 1 wherein the dose is administered a plurality of times cumulatively in an amount effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue without being a sufficient amount cumulatively to induce a castrate-like level of endogenous estrogen.
- 7. The regimen of claim 6 wherein the amount effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue is effective to prevent withdrawal bleeding in response to a progesterone challenge test.
- 8. A method of reducing the proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female without inducing a castrate-like level of endogenous estrogen comprising the steps of administering a regimen of GnRH antagonist in an amount and on a predetermined schedule which is effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in the menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen.

- 9. The method of claim 8 wherein the GnRH antagonist is provided in an amount which is effective to provide an average 24 hour serum estradiol from about 30 to 50 pg/ml.
- 10. The method of claim 9 wherein the GnRH antagonist is provided in an amount which is effective to provide an average 24 hour serum estradiol from about 30 to 45 pg/ml.
- endometrial tissue in a menstruating female without inducing a castrate level of endogenous estrogen comprising the steps of administering a dose of GnRH antagonist in an amount which is effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in the menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen.
- 12. The method of claim 11 wherein the GnRH antagonist is provided in an amount which is effective to provide an average 24 hour serum estradiol from about 30 to 50 pg/ml.


- 13. The method of claim 12 wherein the serum estradiol level is about 35 to 45 pg/ml.
- dependent conditions in a menstruating female without inducing a castrate level of endogenous estrogen comprising the steps of administering a regimen of GnRH antagonist in an amount and on a predetermined schedule which is effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in the menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen.
- 15. The method of claim 14 wherein the GnRH antagonist is provided in an amount which is effective to provide an average 24 hour serum estradiol from about 30 to 50 pg/ml.
- 16. The method of claim 15 wherein the serum estradiol level is about 35 to 45 pg/ml.
- 17. A method of reducing gonadal-steroid dependent conditions in a menstruating female without inducing a castrate level of endogenous estrogen comprising the steps of administering a dose of GnRH

antagonist in an amount which is effective to inhibit proliferation of endometrial tissue in a menstruating female but is ineffective to substantially stop production of endogenous estrogen.


- 18. The method of claim 17 wherein the GnRH antagonist is provided in an amount which is effective to provide an average 24 hour serum estradiol from about 30 to 50 pg/ml.
- 19. The method of claim 18 wherein the serum estradiol level is from about 35 to 45 pg/ml.
- 20. A method of determining whether a reduced estrogenic milieu in an individual mammal is such that the concentration of estradiol has been suppressed to an optimized level appropriate to the therapeutic management of gonadal-steroid dependent condition in that individual by administering a GnRH antagonist to the individual at a given dosage level which comprises titering the antagonist dosage.
- 21. The method of claim 20 wherein the titering comprises conducting a progesterone challenge test on that individual, whereby amenorrhea indicates

adequate suppression of production of endogenous estrogen.

- 22. The method of claim 21 wherein the dosage of GnRH antagonist administered is changed after the challenge test and an additional progesterone challenge test is conducted on the individual.
- 23. The method of claim 21 wherein the dosage level is changed by increasing the dosage.
- 24. The method of claim 23 wherein the level is changed by decreasing the dosage.
- 25. The method of claim 22 in which the sequence of dosage change and additional challenge test is conducted more than once.
- 26. The method of claim 20 wherein the gonadal-steroid dependent condition is endometriosis.
- 27. The method of claim 20 wherein the gonadal-steroid dependent condition is leiomyomata.



SULUTION - MU - 080313841 1 3



INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/08996

entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Y US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance: The considered novel or cannot be considered to document which may throw doubts on priceity chiertyll or which is not considered to the considered to be part of particular relevance: To consider the document of particular relevance: See patent family annex. To consider document of particular relevance to the considered to be part of particular relevance: the change in the document is the document of the considered to be part of particular relevance: the change in the active to the considered to be part of particular relevance: the change in the active to the considered to be part of particular relevance: the change in the change in the case to the change in the chang								
U.S. Please See Extra Sheet. According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the extent that such document in the extent that such document in the extent that is not considered to be pain of periodar references. Special categories of cited documents: The document specialists of the ext which is not considered to be pain of periodar references and firing date. The document which may throw doubt on or after the international filing date. The document which may throw doubt on considered documents which may throw doubt on considered throw the throw considered document which may t								
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the extent that such document in the extent that such as the								
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the extent that such document are included in the extent that such document are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are included in the extent that such document politicals are inconferred to the things that the such are inconferred to the considered sort or cancel the considered on the such are such as a such are inconferred to the such are								
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: Please See Extra Sheet. Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. To document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: the considered content should be considered to document should be considered and document should be considered and content should be considered to document should be considered and document should be considered to docum								
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the continuation search (name of data base and, where practicable, CAS ONLINE, STN C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y. P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y. P. US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Special categories of cited document Special categories of cited document of the set which is not considered to be part of particular relevance of the set which is not considered to be part of particular relevances and consumers of particular relevances the choosed of the considered to considere								
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, CAS ONLINE, STN C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Special categories of cited documents Category Special categories of cited documents Category Special categories of cited documents To considered for particular relevance Category Special categories of cited documents Category Special categories of cited documents Category Citation of document and indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages V, P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document document Category Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages V, P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document document Category Citation of document passages V, P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document document Category Citation of document passages V, P. US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document document Category Citation of document passages Citation of doc								
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, CAS ONLINE, STN C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: the document which may throw doubts on notice claimful to which is policiation principle or theory underlying the inventic to the considered document which may throw doubts on notice claimful to which is not considered accounted of particular relevance: the considered accounted which may throw doubts on notice claimful to which is not considered accounted to considered accounted to considered accounted to considered accounted to considered accounted the considered accounted to considered accounted the considered accounted to considered accounted the co	U.S.: Please See Extra Sheet.							
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, CAS ONLINE, STN C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: the considered accounted which may throw doubts on pricers claimed to experience the considered accounted which may throw doubts on pricers claimed to experience the considered accounted which may throw doubts on pricers claimed to experience the considered accounted on the considered accounted on the considered accounted on the considered accounted the considered accounted on the considered accounted to considered accounted the considered accou								
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: document of particular relevance the cit considered are relevance to document of particular relevance to document which may throw double so minority chieful or which in ordinate or case of be considered to considered	n the fields searched							
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Category* Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. See patent family annex. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: document of particular relevance the cit considered are relevance to document of particular relevance to document which may throw double so minority chieful or which in ordinate or case of be considered to considered								
Calegorys Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: cartier document which may throw doubts on referr the international filling date document which may throw doubts on referr the international filling date "X" document of particular relevance: cartier document which may throw doubts on priority chief() or which is	search terms used)							
Y, P US, A, 5,407,955 (BRYANT ET AL.) 18 April 1995, see entire document. Y, P US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special energories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: and comment of particular relevance of the considered to the part of particular relevance of comment which may throw doubts on priority chairful or which the openiorist chairful or which the document of particular relevance: document which may throw doubts on priority chairful or which the openiorist chairful or which the document of particular relevance: document which may throw doubts on priority chairful or which the openiorist chairful or which the document of particular relevance: document which may throw doubts on priority chairful or which the openiorist chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which the priority chairful or which the considered to which the priority chairful or which t								
entire document. US, A, 5,362,720 (LABRIE) 08 November 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Special extegories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: entire document spublished after the international filling date document which may throw doubts on priority chimful or which is not considered to be considered to be part of particular relevance: the characteristic and not in process the characteristic and not in the characteristic to be the document in the characteristic and not in the characteristic and not in the document in the characteristic and not in the characteristic a	Relevant to claim No							
document. US, A, 5,211,952 (SPICER ET AL.) 18 May 1993, see entire document. V, P US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: cartier document published on or after the international filling date document which may throw doubts on priority chimful or which in document in the particular relevance; the chocaledered to the document which may throw doubts on priority chimful or which in	1-27							
US, A, 5,340,584 (SPICER ET AL.) 23 August 1994, see entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance: cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chim(s) or which in	1-27							
entire document. US, A, 5,169,932 (HOEGER ET AL.) 08 December 1992, see entire document. Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance carfier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in	-27							
Entire documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. Special categories of cited documents: document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is	-27							
Special categories of cited documents: "T" Inter document published after the interest date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the inventic cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in	-27							
Special categories of cited documents: "T" Inter document published after the interest date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the inventic cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in								
Special categories of cited documents: "T" Inter document published after the interest date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the inventic cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in	•							
Special categories of cited documents: "T" Inter document published after the interest date and not in conflict with the application principle or theory underlying the inventic earlier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in								
document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance to be part of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(a) or which in								
cartier document published on or after the international filling date "X" document of particular relevance; the classified accomment which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which in when the document is taken along	but cited to understand the							
	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to inventor are invention are							
cased to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "Y" document of particular relevance: the cla	imed invention cannot be							
document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other combined with one or more other such documens	when the document is uncorn, such combination							
document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed document member of the same patent fami								
ate of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search	report							
0 NOVEMBER 1995 2 8 NOV 1995								
me and mailing address of the ISA/US commissioner of Patents and Trademarks ox PCT Vashington, D.C. 20231 Authorized officer AVIS DAVENPORT	Authorized officer AVIS DAVENPORT							
resimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. (703) 308-0196	U							

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/08996

B. FIELDS SEARCHED
Minimum documentation searched
Classification System: U.S.

514/15, 14, 21; 530/313, 328, 327.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/08996

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER: US CL :

514/15, 14, 21; 530/313, 328, 327

Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet)(July 1992)#